300 PRESTON AVENUE SUITE 300 CHARLOTTESVILLÈ, VA 22902



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023

Paper No. 10

COPY MAILED

AUG 1 6 2001

In re Application of

SHELDON H PARKER

Kady et al.

Application No.09/178,837

Filed: October 26, 1998

Attorney Docket No. GC-334

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b), filed July 23, 2001, to revive the above-identified application.

This petition is hereby **Dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR §1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b)." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. §704.

This above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office Action of December 29, 2000. The final Office Action set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of time were obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR §1.136(a). This application became abandoned on March 29, 2001. This decision precedes the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR §1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR §1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR §1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR §1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR §1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03 (c)(III)(C) and (D).

The instant petition lacks items (1). The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive after a final Office Action must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR §1.17(b)), an amendment that prima facie places the application in condition for allowance, the filing of a continuing application, or a Request for Continued Examination (RCE). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2) and 37 CFR §1.114. No

reply was submitted with the instant petition.

It is noted that while the petition states that an amendment is enclosed with the petition, the Form PTO/SB/64 filed with the petition states that the reply is the previously filed amendment. This amendment has been determined not to place the case in condition for allowance. Petitioners were so advised in the advisory action mailed on April 13, 2001. It is also noted that a search of the official file reveals that no amendment was received with the petition filed July 23, 2001.

Accordingly, this application can not be revived without a required reply.

A four (4) month extension of time was requested. However, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.136, an extension of time must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988) Petitioner's six (6) month statutory period expired on June 30, 2001. Petitioner is ineligible for any extensions of time. Accordingly, deposit account number 16-0478 will be credited with \$695.00 for fees paid to acquire an extension of time.

Further Correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Commissioner for Patents

**Box DAC** 

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

By facsimile:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to Petitions Attorney Charlema R. Grant at (703) 306-0251.

Beverly M. Flanagan

Supervisory Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy